T17 3710

Instructors: Michael Wendl (Instructor) Completed Evaluations: 4 of 20 (20%)

(S) - SEAS Average Score For the Template (D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Semester: Fall 2013

Title of Course: PRINCIPLES OF HEAT TRANSFER

Section: 01

Course Section Requirements:	
Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Engineering

Course:

Scoring Key Bold - Score

Course Administration	(Michael Wendl - Instructor)	

	Was a cou	rse syllabus o	r a course in	formation sh	eet distribute	ed or available	e online?		
	(blank)	Yes	No						
	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)						
	Did the syl	labus explain	the content	and administ	ration of the	course (e.g., d	office hours, <u>c</u>	grading)?	
	(blank)	Yes	No	N/A					
	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)					
6.75	In retrospe	ct, the syllabu	ıs was an ac	curate reflect	tion of how th	e course was	actually taug	jht.	
(S) 6.17 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.30 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Early in the	e semester, di	d your profe	ssor explain	the expectation	ons for acade	mic integrity?	?	
	(blank)	Yes	No						
	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)						

6.75	The course	e matched the	course cata	log descriptio	on.			
(S) 6.23 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.73 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)
Instructor (Michael	Wendl - Instr	ructor)						
5.75	Instructor	made the cou	rse interestin	ıg.				
(S) 5.52 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 4.33 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)
7.00	Instructor	was enthusia	stic about the	e course.				
(S) 6.11 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.08 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)
5.25	The materi	al was covere	ed at a reasor	able pace.				
(S) 5.71 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.18 of 65 (M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
7.00	Instructor	was available	to answer qu	estions (thro	ough office ho	ours, email, et	tc.).	
(S) 6.10 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.29 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)
7.00	Instructor	was well-orga	nized and pr	epared for cla	ass.			
(S) 6.11 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 4.85 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)

6.75	Instructor e	explained the	course mate	erial so that ye	ou could und	erstand it.			
(S) 5.67 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	
(D) 4.86 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	
6.50	Overall rati	ng for teachi	ng quality.						
(S) 5.77 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent	
(D) 4.76 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	
Course Materials a	nd Assignme	nts (Michael V	/endl - Instruc	ctor)					
6.33	Textbooks	/readings con	nlemented t	he lectures					
(S) 5.73 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.65 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
6.00	Textbooks/	readings wer	e useful.						
(S) 5.48 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.57 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
6.50	Assigned h	omeworks w	ere helpful a	nd relevant to	o the course.				
(S) 6.15 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.92 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
6.67	There was	reasonable ti	me to comple	ete assignme	nts.				
(S) 6.12 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.83 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
6.67	Assignmer	nts were retur	ned within a	reasonable p	eriod of time.				
(S) 5.81 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.23 of 65(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)

5.00	Comments	on graded w	ork were help	oful.					
(S) 5.19 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 4.64 of 65(M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
0	Labs were	an effective s	supplement to	o the course.					
(S) 6.06 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.25 of 65 (M)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
5.00	The course	e material dre	w upon real v	world applicat	tions.				
(S) 6.06 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.77 of 65(M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
5.67	Overall rati	ing of course	content.						
(S) 5.82 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent	
(D) 5.43 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
TA and Recitation	(Michael Wer	ndl - Instructor)						
2.00	The TA	- offective							
3.00 (S) 5.76 of 4634	The TA was								
	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 4.41 of 65 (M) 3.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
3.00	The TA was	s available an	d responsive	e to questions	3.				
(S) 5.97 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 4.52 of 65(M) 3.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
0	Recitation	section serve	ed to enhance	e your unders	tanding of th	e course mat	erial.		
(S) 5.73 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 4.85 of 65 (M)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)

Exams and Grade	(Michael Wer	ndl - Instructor)						
6.50	Exams refl	ected materia	l taught.						
(S) 5.94 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.58 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
6.25	Adequate t	ime was give	n to complet	e exams.					
(S) 5.58 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	N/A
(D) 5.46 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Expected g	rade for this	course.						
	(blank)	А	В	С	D	F	Р		
	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
5.75	Your grade	es to this poin	t accurately	reflect your u	nderstanding	of the mater	ial		
(S) 5.57 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	
(D) 5.46 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	
5.33	The gradin	g system was	consistent a	and equitable					
(S) 5.83 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree	
(D) 5.30 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	
Overall (NOT Instru	uctor Specific)							
<u>.</u>	About bow	many hours	nor wook did	you spend o	n this course	outside of a	2662		
					7-9	10-12	13-15	Over 15	

(blank)	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	Over 15
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

What perce	entage of the	lectures did y	ou attend?								
(blank)	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)

6.25	You would	recommend	this course to	others				
(S) 5.57 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.12 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)
6.00	Overall sat	isfaction with	the course.					
(S) 5.55 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 4.96 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)
6.50	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	apply knowle	edge of mathe	matics, scien	ce, and engir	neering.
(S) 5.81 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.55 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)
6.25	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	design and c	onduct exper	iments and to	analyze and	interpret data.
(S) 5.25 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.27 of 65(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)
5.25	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	design a sys	tem, compone	ent, or proces	s to meet de	sired needs.
(S) 5.42 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.23 of 65(M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
5.00	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	function on r	nultidisciplina	ary teams.		
(S) 5.09 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.00 of 65(M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
5.33	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	identify, form	nulate, and so	lve engineeri	ng problems.	
(S) 5.63 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.34 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
4.67	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	understand p	professional a	nd ethical res	sponsibility.	
(S) 4.89 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.20 of 65(M) 5.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

4.33	The cours	e enhanced y	our ability to	communicat	e effectively.						
(S) 5.06 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent			
(D) 4.74 of 65(M) 4.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			
4.00	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	understand t	the impact of e	engineering i	n a global and	d societal context.			
(S) 4.98 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent			
(D) 5.02 of 65(M) 4.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			
6.00	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	recognize the	e need for and	d to engage i	n life-long lea	rning.			
(S) 5.27 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent			
(D) 5.26 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)			
4.00	The course	e enhanced y	our ability to	understand o	contemporary	social issue	5.				
(S) 4.37 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent			
(D) 4.57 of 65(M) 4.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			
5.75	The course	ne course enhanced your ability to use the techniques, skills and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice.									
(S) 5.67 of 4634	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent			
(D) 5.13 of 65(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)			

Course Administration (Michael Wendl - Instructor)

Please elaborate if you felt the course did NOT match the course catalog description.

Instructor (Michael Wendl - Instructor)

Please elaborate if you felt the material was NOT covered at a reasonable pace.

- 02 it was very fast
- 03 There was alot of material covered

Course Materials and Assignments (Michael Wendl - Instructor)

Please elaborate if you felt the textbooks/readings were NOT useful.

Please comment on the effectiveness of the TA.

Please comment on the effectiveness of the recitation section

Exams and Grade (Michael Wendl - Instructor)

Please elaborate if you felt the grading system was NOT consistent and equitable.

02 Grader of first test was far too harsh, but Prof Wendl did a lot to help amend the situation

Overall

Any comments on why you did or did not attend lecture?

- 00 Lectures were interesting and an excellent time to ask questions about material.
- 01 family issues, court.

Comments (Michael Wendl - Instructor)

What did you like most about this course?

- 00 Lectures were thorough and interesting.
- 01 The textbook, and the sections on conduction and convection were pretty good.
- 02 It is interesting

What did you like the most about the professor/instructor?

- 00 Great professor, clearly enjoys what he does.
- 01 His passion and excitement about course material
- 02 He is passionate and extremely intelligent
- 03 Very knowledgeable of material. Very clear and organized lectures. Enthusiastic about teaching. Very good at answering questions. One of or possibly the best proffesors ive had.

How could this course improve?

01 He focused a lot on derivations. While I find derivations to be interesting, they are more the kind of thing I appreciate over a shot of whiskey and a cigar casually reading in my study. Being an engineering student is a gruesome and brutal experience, and his lectures were too much for my brain to handle with the 60+ hours a week spend on school and understanding.

I would, and many of my classmates who I have conversed with say they would prefer him to focus more on explaining the equations, what they mean, when and how to use them, and to cover content in a fashion more directly useful to making things. This is a late stage class and by this point we want material that is directly applicable to making things, not to advancing understanding of the discipline. As much as I would love to learn the things he discusses, I don't feel it is the right time or place.

How could the professor/instructor improve?

- 00 Clone himself. (Need more professors like Wendl)
- 01 Improve his fashion sense and use shorter words. It isn't that I don't understand what he is saying, I have a larger vocabulary than the multitudes, but he takes far more words than are necessary to describe content, and I often feel I have to sift out meaning from large amounts of junk words thrown in to make him sound smarter, as if the freaking genius needs any reason to feel smarter than everyone already knows he is.
- 03 More examples on how to implement theory into examples.

What would you tell another student who asked you to describe this course?

- 00 Hope you like derivations!
- 01 Always take a shot and drink a monster before class. The alcohol to slow you down enough that the useful things he says come at a pace that doesn't overwhelm you, and the caffeine so you have the mental power to decipher his needlessly complex language and understand the enormous amounts of raw science barfing out of the professor.

Have you observed any violations of academic integrity (e.g., cheating) in this course? If so, do NOT list the names of student(s) involved; only describe the violation that you observed.

- 00 Nope
- 01 none

Any additional comments?

01 I see you play the accordion, bravo sir. I love seeing odd and interesting hobbies alive in today's increasingly homogenized world. Keep being you.